

withhold the name, but by mistake
he published it. I was, very sorry for
I wanted to withhold it for more
light, though I believed it new as far
as the description in "Flora N. A." T. 98
was concerned.

I am very glad that you have smashed
Callonia, and I shall be gladder
when you smash *Scrophularia* Cali-
fornica.

I send you several Lower California
plants. I name the *Galium*,
Malacothyris, or *Tuzonia* as new I
should be glad if you would dedicate
them to me, but not until it is
ascertained by you whether Mr. Pringle
or Parry have collected them at the same
time or earlier. If any are new please
indicate it to me, but withhold the
name which you will give to each
till we know to whom it belongs. Don't
I never want it said that I have stolen
any species. I have more to send by
mail by. Please also ^{to} withhold from

Salt Lake,
Aug. 11. 1850

My Dear Sir,

Your kind favor of Aug. 4th
is at hand.

I think a letter of yours must
have been lost as I sent you a new
Astragalus and the *Echinosperrum*
on which I have received no report.
You are not to blame for naming
the *Aesculus* Parryi, but Parry
deserves severe censure for sending
it to you as his own when it was
collected at the same time and
place by Pringle and myself, neither
of whom knew that he had sent
it to you, or gave him any right
to the name. Of course you could
not dedicate to all of us, but it
was understood by us that any
plant collected by two or more

of us should be dedicated to noon,
but should have a characteristic
name. If it is possible to change
it to a characteristic name, I as
one of the discoverers would request it.
You will see by the August Bulletin
that Parry has accomplished the
steal of the rose from me who was
the original collector of it. But I
will reply to that in another way.
I send you a specimen of the *Psidium*
also a description of which I will
send you, it seems to be new. I also
send you a specimen of the *Echinospes-*
mum which I have named *E. cus-*
pidatum. The history of the plant is
as follows. I discovered it early in
March at San Diego, Cal. and
gave Parry specimens of it. A few
days after he told me that he
had sent it to you as "*E. prostratum*
Jones, n. sp." I immediately wrote
to you repudiating the name, and

saying that it was not appropriate
and I had never given it that
name, I also said that I thought I
would call it *E. decumbens*, but
after studying it I prefer *E. cus-*
pidatum as it is not always de-
cumbent. It is near to *E. Greenei*,
but abundantly distinct according
to your "*T. Lora of N. A.*" If there is
any chance that it is not new please
let me know as soon as possible.
When we went down into Lower
Cal. we found it on the Tia Juana
just on the line also.

I have been studying *Echinocarya*
and have great difficulty in distin-
guishing it from the section *Phagade-*
teps of *Crataegium*.
The *Grindelia* that I sent you as *G.*
Pacifica n. sp. which you considers a
form of *G. nana*. I had sent to Gerard
for the Bulletin before I got your paper.
I immediately wrote to him to

naming any of their seedlings from
Lower Cal. till our dates decide to
whom the species belongs. Mr. Pringle
will probably hold strictly to our
agreement, but Parry needs watching.
I have intended to make out a
complete list for you of all the
plants (several hundred) found at
Encinada and will do so if you
wish.

The new *Astragalus* I find is in my
other box which is not yet here.

The plant resembles *Hesackis strigosa*,
has fleshy leaves, straight pods, is an
annual, and was found at Soledad
in salty and alkaline ground, at
on April 19th or 18th 1882. If I can
find a specimen anywhere I will
send it tomorrow.

Sincerely yours,

Marcus E. Jones.